

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/724,062	12/01/2003	Takuya Hamada	HAMA3008/EM	1728	
23364	7590 10/30/2006	•	EXAM	EXAMINER .	
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC			THOMPSON, CAMIE S		
625 SLATERS FOURTH FLO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22314		1774		
			DATE MAILED: 10/30/2006	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/724,062	HAMADA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Camie S. Thompson	1774				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address	S			
• •	VIC CET TO EVOIDE AMONT	THE OF THE TY (20) D.	AVC			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply but will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fitte, cause the application to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this commun DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Am	endment filed August 11, 2006.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-17</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.		•			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		ie Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-15	52.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documer</li> </ol>	nts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	• •					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	· ·	eived in this National Stag	e			
application from the International Burea	• • •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	it of the certified copies not rece	ived.				
Attachment(s)	🗖 .					
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai	ary (PTO-413) I Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informa					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/724,062 Page 2

Art Unit: 1774

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's amendment and accompanying remarks filed August 11, 2006 are acknowledged.

2. Examiner acknowledges amended claims 10 and 17.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 10 and 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Komatsu et al., U.S. Pre-Grant Publication 2003/0071560.

Komatsu discloses a field emission display that has a structure with a plane-shaped field emission type electron source mounted on a rear plane of enclosed a vacuum box and phosphor layers (see paragraph 0003). Paragraph 0009 of the reference discloses that the phosphor layer comprises a mixture of main phosphors and small particle phosphors. Reference claim 19 discloses that the main phosphors are oxide system phosphors such as SrTiO<sub>3</sub> and that the small particle phosphors are sulfide system phosphors such as ZnS:Cu,Au (see paragraphs 0014-0016). It is disclosed in paragraph 0018 that the phosphor layer is formed by mixing the small particle

Application/Control Number: 10/724,062 Page 3

Art Unit: 1774

phosphors into the main phosphors in a range larger than or equal to 10-weight% and also smaller than, or equal to 40-weight %.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kingsley et al., U.S. Patent Number 3,664,862.

Kingsley discloses a multi-component phosphor comprising ZnS and is activated by copper silver or gold and co-activated aluminum and a red emitting phosphor such as yttrium vanadate (see column 4, lines 1-68). Kingsley does not disclose the mixing ratio of the phosphors. However, this is an optimizable feature. The amount of each phosphor in the mixture affects the efficiency of the phosphor. Discovery of optimum values of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art *in re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have the mixing ratio of the green phosphor is about 5 to about 50% weight in order to have a phosphor mixture that will luminesce at low voltages and will continue to luminesce with high efficiency.

7. Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Komatsu et al., U.S. Pre Grant Publication 2003/0071560 in view of Kingsley et al., U.S. Patent Number 3,664,862.

Art Unit: 1774

Komatsu discloses a field emission display that has a structure with a plane-shaped field emission type electron source mounted on a rear plane of enclosed a vacuum box and phosphor layers (see paragraph 0003). Paragraph 0009 of the reference discloses that the phosphor layer comprises a mixture of main phosphors and small particle phosphors. Reference claim 19 discloses that the main phosphors are oxide system phosphors such as SrTiO<sub>3</sub> and that the small particle phosphors are sulfide system phosphors such as ZnS:Cu,Au (see paragraphs 0014-0016). It is disclosed in paragraph 0018 that the phosphor layer is formed by mixing the small particle phosphors into the main phosphors in a range larger than or equal to 10-weight% and also smaller than, or equal to 40-weight %. Komatsu does not disclose the ZnS phosphor having Al as a co-activator. Kingsley discloses a multi-component phosphor comprising ZnS and is activated by copper silver or gold and co-activated aluminum and a red emitting phosphor such as yttrium vanadate (see column 4, lines 1-68). The use of aluminum as a co-activator affects the efficiency of the phosphor. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use aluminum as a co-activator to ensure that the phosphor mixture has increased luminescence due to its efficiency.

### Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed August 11, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the Komatsu reference does not disclose mixing red phosphors with green phosphors. The Komatsu reference does disclose mixing oxide system phosphors and sulfide system phosphors in reference claim 19. Oxide system phosphors include

Application/Control Number: 10/724,062 Page 5

Art Unit: 1774

SrTiO<sub>3</sub>:Pr (a red phosphor) and sulfide system phosphors include ZnS:Cu,Au (green phosphor).

Reference claim 19 reads on the instant claims. Therefore, the rejection of the claims under Komatsu is maintained.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Camie S. Thompson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1530. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena L Dye, can be reached at (571) 272-3186. The fax phone number for the Group is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

2/07/04